

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

|            | APPLICATION NO. | FIL        | ING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/833,429 |                 | 04/12/2001 |            | Sam Gat-Shang Chu    | AUS920010271US1     | 1574             |
|            | 35525 7         | 7590       | 10/06/2004 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
|            | IBM CORP (      | YA)        |            | THOMPSON, ANNETTE M  |                     |                  |
|            | C/O YEE & A     |            | TES PC     |                      |                     |                  |
|            | P.O. BOX 802    | 333        |            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|            | DALLAS TX 75380 |            |            |                      | 2825                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | •  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 09/833,429   | CHU ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | A. M. Thompson   | 2825  |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply  | on appears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| THE - External control | MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT<br>ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or<br>r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati<br>e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days<br>of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory<br>ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by<br>reply received by the Office later than three months after the<br>led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | 12 April 2001.   | ·   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u>  |  | This action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice ur  | ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | tion of Claims   | ·  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) 🖂   | Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applic   | eation.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5)□  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7)   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8)[  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | tion Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)⊠  | The specification is objected to by the Exa  | aminer.  | <i>.</i>  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠   | ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2001</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection   | to the drawing(s) be held in abeya   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the d   | correction is required if the drawing  | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by t  | he Examiner. Note the attache  | d Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  | ٠.  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for [□] All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.  | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority docu   | ments have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority docu   | ments have been received in A  | Application No  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the   | •  | received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International E   | ,  |   |  |  |  |  |
| * (  | See the attached detailed Office action for  | a list of the certified copies not   | received.   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmer  | nt(s)  |  | ·   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) 🔯 Notic   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  | Summary (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |  |
|  | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94   | ···/   | (s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
|  | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ser No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/833,429

Art Unit: 2825

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application 09/833,429 has been examined. Claims 1-27 are pending.

#### Specification

 The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the abstract, remove the parentheses.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claims 2, 13 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: Pursuant to claims 2 and 17, at line 6 pluralize the first instance of "buffer"; at line 10, clarify "the second local clock buffer drives a lower power load" than what other element? Pursuant to claim 13, at line 9, change "is" to being. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

## Rejection of claims 1-27

4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginetti et al. (Ginetti), U.S. Patent 5,426,591 in view of Hathaway et al. (Hathaway), 6,425,110. Ginetti discloses a method and apparatus for improving circuit timing performance which affects power consumption. However, Ginetti does not disclose the

explicit use of clock buffers, although it does reference primitive cells (col. 3, II. 20-35) which would include the buffers. Hathaway also discloses a method and apparatus for improving circuit timing performance which affects power consumption, and includes a method which deals with cell power levels and drive strengths. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants' invention to combine the teaching of Ginetti with Hathaway as both complement each other by disclosing timing analysis method with Hathaway presenting details which Ginetti excludes.

- 5. Pursuant to claims 1, 13, and 16 which recite a method (c. 1) and apparatus (c. 13, 16) for reducing power consumption (Ginetti see Fig. 3, col. 7, II. 1-10; col. 12, II. 13-48), comprising locating a first latch having more than a predetermined slack period; determining availability of a second latch having more than a zero slack period; replacing the first latch with the available second latch (Ginetti col. 3, II. 20-48).
- 6. Pursuant to claims 2 and 17, further comprising locating local clock buffers with reduced loads; determining the availability of a second local clock buffer with a lower power load and replacing the first local clock buffer with the available second local clock buffer (Hathaway, col. 13, line 57 to col. 14, line 67).
- 7. Pursuant to claims 3 and 18, wherein the first local clock buffer is a high clock power local clock buffer (Hathaway col. 14, II. 9-33).
- 8. Pursuant to claims 4 and 19, wherein the second local clock buffer is a low clock power local clock buffer (Hathaway col. 14, II. 2-15).
- 9. Pursuant to claims 5 and 20, wherein there is an optimization and iteration of the latch replacing and testing (Ginetti, col. 3, II. 40-48).

Application/Control Number: 09/833,429

Art Unit: 2825

10. Pursuant to claims 6 and 21, wherein a timing test tests the modified clock circuit (Ginetti, col. 3, II. 25-28; col. 7, line 45 to col. 8, line 23).

Page 4

- 11. Pursuant to claims 7, 14, and 22, wherein the first latch is a high power consumption latch (Hathaway, col. 13, line 57 to col. 14, line 32).
- 12. Pursuant to claim 8 and 23 wherein the plurality of latches are high power consumption (Hathaway, col. 13, line 64 to col. 14, line 14).
- 13. Pursuant to claim 9, 13, and 24, wherein the second latch is low power (Hathaway, col. 13, line 57 to col. 14, line 57).
- 14. Pursuant to claims 10 and 25, wherein the predetermined slack period is either an input or output slack period (Ginetti, col. 9, line 35 to col. 10, line 7).
- 15. Pursuant to claim 11 and 26, wherein the input slack period is greater than 100 picoseconds, (see col. 9, II. 1-52; the length of time selected is considered a designer's prerogative and Applicants' specification has not applied any significance to the time length).
- 16. Pursuant to claim 12 and 27, wherein the output slack period is greater that 300 picoseconds (see col. 9, II. 1-52; the length of time selected is considered a designer's prerogative and Applicants' specification has not applied any significance to the time length).

#### Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please reference the PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,429 Page 5

Examiner can usually be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

Art Unit: 2825

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Examiner A.M. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1909. The

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

19. Responses to this action should be mailed to the appropriate mail stop:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for all OFFICIAL communications/intended for entry)

Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800